

REMARKS

The Office examined claims 1-24 and rejected 1, 3-5, 13-17, and 20. With this paper, the claims are unchanged.

Rejections under 35 USC §102

At page 2 of the Office action, claims 1, 3-5, 13-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 USC §102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,320,595 to Simons et al., or U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0034934 to Brewer.

Of the rejected claims, only claims 1 and 13 are independent.

Regarding Simmons as applied to claims 1 and 13, to ground the rejections, the Office action asserts that "Simmons discloses in col. 6, lines 25-27, '[a] wide variety of application areas are possible including: ... message construction using server clip-art' " Applicant respectfully submits that Simmons discloses only the use of "server clipart" and not the use of clipart from a gallery folder included within a wireless terminal, as required by claims 1 and 13, let alone where each gallery includes at least two clipart pictures and each is of a size suitable for communication as a single wireless message, as also required by claim 1 and 13. Instead, all that Simmons discloses is possibly downloading clipart from a server to include in a message.

Regarding Brewer applied to claims 1 and 13, applicant respectfully submits that the only wireless communication that occurs in Brewer is the sending of messages from a computer to a display screen; there is no facility for wirelessly communicating with another terminal having a display screen, which applicant respectfully submits would be required by any reasonable construing of claims 1 and 13 in view of the disclosure

throughout and also the preambles of the two claims (reciting a "wireless terminal," which clearly means a terminal able to wirelessly communicate with another such terminal, not equipment that includes some wirelessly coupled components, as in Brewer). (The computer in Brewer does not itself have a display screen; the arrangement in Brewer is as if the LED on a cell phone were connected to the rest of a cell phone via a radio transceiver.) Applicant further respectfully submits that Brewer does not teach or suggest the storage of clipart galleries where each gallery includes at least two pictures, as in claims 1 and 13 (claim 1 reciting "each gallery including a plurality of clip-art pictures ..." and claim 13 reciting "each gallery including at least two clip-art pictures").

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the rejections under 35 USC §102 of claims 1 and 13 be reconsidered and withdrawn. Further, applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of the other claims also be withdrawn on the ground that they all depend directly or indirectly from either claim 1 or claim 13.


Conclusion

For all of the foregoing reasons it is believed that all of the claims of the application are in condition for allowance and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

Aug. 26, 2004
Date

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

Respectfully submitted,


James A. Retter
Registration No. 41,266

tel: (203) 261-1234
Cust. No.: 004955